

KIRBY HILL AND DISTRICT PARISH COUNCIL

MINUTES of a **Planning Meeting** 31 January 7.00pm Coronation Hall

Present: Cllr Lawson (Chairman), Cllr Hick, Cllr Lister, Cllr Merson, Cllr Smailes, Cllr Wilkinson

Mr Rae (Clerk) and Mr Owens (Kirby Hill RAMS)

Apologies for absence: Cllrs Jones and Widdows

Code of Conduct/Declarations of Interest Cllr Wilkinson, as tenant farmer, declared an interest in item 1.

1. Application 18/00123/EIAMAJ MSA OS Field 3300 Marton-le-Moor Resubmission of application following December withdrawal. Applegreen.

The clerk explained that the council needed to submit new objections/comments since the objections it had made previously would not be carried forward. Mr Owens confirmed that the re-submitted application was the same as the previous one in all main respects, such as the design and plans, but that some new supporting documentation had been added (eg archaeological).

Mr Owens stressed the point that the new application did not bring forward the 180 or so objections of the community and that these would have to be made again – despite the applicant having said that the comments WOULD be included in an appendix to the application.

It was agreed that the council should submit the same response as it had made in the autumn of 2017 but with an added introductory paragraph expressing the council's dismay and anger at the applicant's conduct, which it considered to be a tactical move intended to frustrate and wear down those who were opposing the development.

It was suggested by Cllr Hick that a sentence should also be added about highway safety concerns, based on local fire services' opinions that this particular stretch of A1(M) was an accident blackspot.

The clerk was asked to compose and submit the required response. **ACTION CLERK**

2. Application 17/05603/REMMAJ Home Farm, Kirby Hill. Reserved matters - 34 dwellings Frontline Estates.

The clerk explained that the council needed to comment on this application by 5 February, reminding councillors that the outline approval had been granted and that this application contained a new layout which was less dense, with the 34 dwellings spread across a wider area. It was agreed that the council should choose the option C response i.e. neither supporting nor objecting to the application but making comments/observations. These comments would include queries about the maintenance of the boundary hedging, the diversion of the public footpaths and the purpose of the pumping station near unit 21. It was further suggested by Cllr Merson that the council should ask to see a transport management plan for the work of developing the site since this would be very disruptive to residents of St John's Walk – the only access to the site. **ACTION CLERK**

3. HBC Draft Local Plan Consultation 26 January to 9 March

The clerk asked how councillors wanted to respond, saying that he had only read small but relevant parts of the huge amount of documentation. He explained that the purpose of this stage of consultation was to ascertain whether consultees considered the plan to be 'legally compliant and sound'. One of the definitions of 'sound' being that 'the plan should be deliverable; the housing and other development should be capable of being carried out.' It was agreed that the clerk should respond through the structured online consultation form, bringing in the following comments where appropriate:

- a)welcoming the recommendations in the new 'Sustainability Appraisal 2018' that the 'other sites' (KB2,3,4,5 & 6) north of Kirby Hill should 'not be allocated'.
- b)expressing concern about the policy on development limits and stressing the importance to the local community of the highly sensitive northern boundary of the Kirby Hill settlement.
- c)expressing concern about the failure to address the issue of inadequate infrastructure in the face of such extensive development around Boroughbridge. **ACTION CLERK**

Meeting closed 8.00pm

Chairman.....

Date.....